REGIONE DEL VENETO

Interreg
Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

INTERREG V A Italy-Croatia CBC Programme

Restricted Call for Proposals (IT-HR Clusters)

Factsheet n. 5

Project Selection

ANNEXES

Selection criteria

Version of 5 October 2021

8b7b5614

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

Annex I – Admissibility selection criteria

The criteria listed in this paragraph are applicable to all IT-HR clusters.

	CRITERIA	EXPLANATION	REFERENCE	CONSEQUENCE	TYPE OF CHECK
A1	**Submission and completeness of AF and LP annexes**	Has the Application Form (AF) been submitted via the SIU system?	Par. "H" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal. No other method of submission of an application will be accepted. Applications not submitted via the SIU will be rejected.	Check performed by MA/JS on AF submitted via the SIU system.
		Has the AF been submitted within the set deadline?	Par. "H" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal submitted after the deadline.	Check performed by JS on the SIU system on submitted AF (submission date and time is registered by the SIU).
		Is the AF the only one submitted for the given project proposal?		REJECTION of project proposal with the same acronym (the SIU system does not allow this).	Check performed by the SIU system. Counter check performed by JS.
		Has the AF been filled-in in English?	Par. "H" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of project proposal if filled-in in languages other than English.	Check performed by MA/JS.
		Is the AF duly signed by LP's legal representative or		REJECTION of project proposal: a) if the LP's signature is missing; b) in	Check performed by JS on all AF signed

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614

	by a person in charge of signature according to what is declared in the AF?		case of handwritten signature if ID of the subscriber is missing.	and submitted via the SIU.

Annex II – Eligibility assessment criteria

The criteria listed in this paragraph are common to all IT-HR Clusters.

CRITERIA		EXPLANATION	REFERENCE	CONSEQUENCE	TYPE OF CHECK
B1	Submission and completeness of LP and PPs annexes	Are all the compulsory LP annexes produced, completely filled-in in English language and in the compulsory Programme template?		REJECTION of project proposal if clarification is not provided within the set deadline	Check performed by SIU on the presence and number of submitted annexes. Check on contents and completeness of annexes performed by JS.
		Are LP annexes signed by the legal representative of the LP, or by a person in charge of signature according to what declared in the AF?		REJECTION of project proposal if clarification is not provided within the set deadline	Check performed by JS

European Regional Development Fund

2

8b7b5614

		Are all the compulsory PP annexes produced, completely filled in in English language and in the compulsory Programme template?		The PP is excluded if clarification is not provided within the set deadline; the exclusion leading to infringement of criterion as reported in par. E of the Call Announcement leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by the SIU system on the presence and number of submitted annexes. Check on contents and completeness of annexes performed by JS.
		Are PP annexes duly signed by the legal representative of the PP or by a person in charge of signature according to what is declared in the AF?		The PP is excluded if clarification is not provided within the set deadline; the exclusion leading to infringement of criterion reported in par. E of the Call Announcement leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by JS.
B2	**Min/max requirements for partnership**	Is the project partnership composed of at least 1 Italian and 1 Croatian partner?	Par. "E" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of the project proposal.	Check performed by the SIU system. Countercheck performed by JS.
		Is the LP organization involved as LP or PP in only 1 "Cluster" within the Call?	Par. "E" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of the project proposal	Check performed by JS.

		Are the PPs organizations involved as LP or PP in only 1 "Cluster" within the Call?	Par. "E" of the Call Announcement	PP is excluded. The failure to meet the criteria of the partnership requirements leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by JS.
		Is the minimum and maximum number of partners per each Cluster respected?	Par. "E" of the Call Announcement. Minimum 3, maximum 8.	REJECTION of the project proposal.	Check performed by the SIU system. Countercheck performed by JS.
B3	**LP and PP are eligible organizations**	Is the LP located in the eligible Programme area?		REJECTION of the project proposal.	Warning in the SIU system as a result of automatic check. Counter check performed by JS.
		Is the LP a public body or body governed by public law as foreseen in the Call Announcement?	Par. "E" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of the project proposal	Check performed by JS.
		Is the LP of the project proposal a LP or PP (also assimilated) of one of the Standard+ or Standard projects listed in Par. E of the Call Announcement?	Par. "E" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of the project proposal	Check performed by the SIU system. Counter check performed by JS.

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614

		Does the partnership involved bring the know-how and experience from at least 3 different projects listed in the Restricted Call for proposals and associated to the IT-HR Clusters?	Par. "E" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of the project proposal	Check performed by JS.
		Are PPs located in the eligible Programme area?		The PP is excluded. The failure to meet the criteria as reported in the paragraph E of the Call Announcement leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Warning in the SIU system as a result of automatic check. Counter check on assimilated partners performed by JS.
		Are the PPs in compliance with the eligible PPs legal forms as foreseen in the Call announcement?	Par. "E" of the Call announcement	PP is excluded. The failure to meet the criteria of the respect of the partnership requirements leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by JS.
B4	**Project duration**	Is the project duration in line with the time limit set in the Restricted Call for Proposals?	Par. "G" of the Call Announcement. Maximum 15 months with latest end date 30/06/2023	REJECTION of the project proposal.	Check performed by the SIU system. Counter check performed by JS.

8b7b5614

B5	No evidence of double-financing	Is there no evidence of double-financing on the basis of the LP and PPs declarations?		Clarification within the set deadline, and rejection of project proposal if the compliance on the LP' declaration is missing. If the compliance is missing on one PP's declaration and it is excluded for this reason, the exclusion leading to infringement of partnership requirements leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by JS.
B6		Does the total financial dimension comply with the indication included in the Call Announcement?	Par. "F" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of the project proposal.	Automatic checks in SIU system will not allow financial dimension above ERDF availability. Counter check performed by JS.
		Is the total ERDF co-financing not exceeding 85% of total project budget?	Par. "F" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of the project proposal.	Automatic checks in SIU system will not allow financial dimension above ERDF availability. Counter check performed by JS.

8b7b5614

B7	Co-financing secured	Is the amount of the Co-financing indicated in the LP annexes equal or higher than the amount of the co-financing indicated in the Application Form?	Par. "D" of the Call Announcement	REJECTION of the project proposal. Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline.	Check performed by JS.
		Is the amount of the co-financing indicated in the PP annexes equal or higher than the amount of the co-financing indicated in the Application Form?	Par. "D" of the Call Announcement	PP is excluded. Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline. The exclusion leading to infringement of partnership requirements leads to the rejection of the project proposal.	Check performed by JS.
B8	Cooperation criteria	Do partners declare to cooperate at least in all the following ways: joint development, joint implementation and joint financing?		REJECTION of the project proposal. Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline.	A check on the presence of the declaration is performed by the SIU system before AF submission. Counter check performed by JS.
B9	Respect of horizontal principles	Is the commitment for the respect of the horizontal principles (equal opportunities and non-discrimination, equality between		REJECTION of the project proposal. Clarification can be requested to the LP within a set deadline.	A check on the presence of the commitment is performed by the SIU system before AF submission. Counter check

		men and women and sustainable development) included?			performed by JS.

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
*TO JOINT SOLUTIONS*

Annex III – Quality assessment criteria

The criteria listed in this paragraph are applicable to the Cluster project proposals as specified. The tables display the guiding question, the value of the question, the attributed scores and where to find the related information in the application form.

C.1   Overall Strategic Criteria

C.1.1   Project context – relevance and strategy

C.1.1. a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	Does the project proposal address the objectives of the Call announcement, fully exploiting and consolidating the results achieved and increasing the knowledge base on the addressed topics in preparation for the next programming period?	No	0	Section F "Project Key data"
		Partially	2	
		Yes	4	

| C.1.1. b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	Does the project expected results contribute to EU/national/regional policies and/or strategies and plans in the thematic domain(s) addressed by the project other than EUSAIR?	No	0	Section F "Project Key data"
		Partially	3	
		Yes	6	

Interreg
Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

C.1.2. Cooperation character

C.1.2. a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	How well is the Cluster approach aligned with the needs detected on the targeted CB territory?	No	0	Section F "Project Key data"
		Partially	2	
		Yes	4	

C.1.2. b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	Are all the Standard and Standard+ projects involved in the cluster providing with their respective outputs and results a significant contribution to the project proposal?	No	0	Section F "Project Key data"
		Partially	2	
		Yes	4	

8b7b5614

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

C.1.3 Project contribution to Programme's objective, expected results and outputs

C.1.3. a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
Is the project intervention logic:		No	0	Section F "Project Key data"
a) internally aligned and		Partially	2	
b) linked to the description of the Cluster		Yes	4	

| C.1.3. b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
Are the project's main outputs providing a relevant contribution to the Cluster Indicators from the list in par. D. "HOW TO BUILD THE WORK PLAN" of the FS3?		No	0	Section F "Project Key data": Field "Project main outputs description", "Programme output indicator related" and "Project specific objectives description"
		Partially	3	

8b7b5614

Interreg Italy - Croatia

FROM SHARED RESOURCES TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

	Yes	6	Section I "Project": Field "WP expected outputs"

C.1.3. c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	Does the project proposal enhance the durability and proposes innovative schemes for the sustainability of results or provides transferability of results beyond the IT-HR Programme Area?	No	0	Section F "Project Key data": Field "Outputs and results durability" and " Outputs and results transferability"
		Partially	2	Section I "Project": Field "WP expected outputs" , " Durability of WP outputs" and "Transferability of WP outputs"
		Yes	4	

C.1.3. d	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	Are the selected target groups (policy makers and other relevant stakeholders) in accordance with the project proposals' specific objectives and main outputs?	No	0	Section F "Project Key data": Field "Target groups", "Description of the target groups" and "Target value"

8b7b5614

Interreg
Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

	Partially	2	Section I "Project": Field "WPs description"
	Yes	4	

C.1.4 Partnership relevance

| C.1.4. a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	Is the project partnership coherent with respect to the activities to be carried out?	No	0	Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data"
		Partially	2	Section I "Project": Field "WPs description"
		Yes	4	

8b7b5614

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

C. 2 Operational Criteria

C.2.1   Management

C.2.1. a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	Is the sound management and coordination of the project ensured? Is the financial and overall project management described with a clear organization and distribution of work within the partnership?	No	0	Section B "Lead Applicant - Other data": Field "Competence", and "Organizational structure and resources"
		Partially	2	Section E "Partners": Field "Competence" and "Organizational structure and resources"
		Yes	4	Section I "Project": Field "Project budget"

C.2.1. b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	Are the management structures, responsibilities and procedures for the day-to-day management and coordination (including whether the externalization of the management is foreseen) well described? Does this also include reporting and activities related to the project closure?	No	0	Section I "Project": Field "WP1 description" and "WP1 activities and deliverables"
		Partially	2	
		Yes	4	

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

Interreg
Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

C.2.2 Communication

C.2.2. a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	Are the communication objectives clearly defined and linked to the project proposals' specific objectives?	No	0	Section G "Communication approach": Field "Communication objectives description"
	Are the communication approach/tactics chosen appropriate to reach communication objectives?	Partially	2	Section I "Project": Field "WP2 description" and "WP2 activities"
		Yes	4	

C.2.2. b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
	To which extent are the proposed information and dissemination activities able to achieve visibility and transferability of results among relevant target groups (policy makers) and stakeholders (e.g., the relevant target groups are clearly defined and cover the entire project area? Are they relevant also for the Programming Period 2021-2027?) Are the tactics selected to interact with each target group and the activities for each of the tactics selected clearly described?	No	0	Section G "Communication approach": Field "Communication tactics/approach" and "Target groups of communication"
		Partially	3	Section I "Project": Field "WP2 description", "WP2 activities" and" WPs outputs transferability
		Yes	6	

8b7b5614

Interreg
Italy - Croatia

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

C.2.2. c	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
Is the project involved in communication and dissemination activities of other Standard and Strategic IT-HR projects operating in the same thematic areas?		No	0	Section G "Communication approach": Field "Communication tactics/approach" and "Target groups of communication"
		Partially	2	Section I "Project": Field "WP2 description", "WP2 activities and" WPs outputs transferability
		Yes	4	

C.2.3   Work plan

C.2.3. a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
Is the work plan (consisting of activities, deliverables and outputs): A. realistic; B. consistent; C. transparent?		No	0	
		Partially	2	Section I "Project"
		Yes	4	

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

C.2.3. b	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
Does the work plan include all mandatory activities and minimum deliverables?		No	0	Section I "Project"
Does the project proposal envisage additional deliverables other than the minimum ones?		Partially	3	
		Yes	6	

C.2.4   Budget

C.2.4. a	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application form
Is the project budget proportionate to the proposed work plan, main outputs and results aimed for? To which extent is the distribution per Work Package coherent with the workplan?		No	0	Section I "Project": Field "Project budget"
		Partially	2	
		Yes	4	

8b7b5614

FROM SHARED RESOURCES TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

C.2.4. b			Application form
Guiding question	**Value**	**Score**	
Are partners' budgets coherent with their respective role in project activities for each Work Package? Is the distribution of budget between PPs balanced?	No	0	Section I "Project": Field "Project budget"
	Partially	2	
	Yes	4	

C.3 Specific assessment criteria per cluster

CLUSTER n. 1

	Guiding question	**Value**	**Score**	**Application Form**
a	Is the project contributing to the implementation of EUSAIR pillar 1 flagship "Fostering quadruple helix ties in the fields of marine technologies and blue bio-technologies for advancing innovation, business development and business adaptation in blue bio-economy"?	No	0	Section F "Project key data".
		Partially	3	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	5	Section I "Project"

8b7b5614

Interreg
Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

b	Is the project proposal developing project ideas in line with the IT-HR Interreg Programme 2021-2027 Specific objective 1.1 and 1.4?	No	0	Section F "Project key data".
		Partially	4	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	7	Section I "Project"
c	Is the project proposal activating coordination processes with ADRION on Blue Growth and Related Smart Growth?	No	0	Section F "Project key data".
		Partially	4	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	7	Section I "Project"
d	Is the project promoting activities linked to costs saving and greater efficiency, as well as improvements in the quality of services?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	
e	Is the project proposal building on experiences in testing and managing specific solutions of data management in the circular economy and solutions to decarbonize all the maritime mobility activities, fishing fleets (e.g., new material, shore-based)?	No	0	Section G "Communication approach". Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	
f	Is the project proposal supporting the	No	0	Section F "Project key data".

8b7b5614

*FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS*

| exchange of experiences in applying innovation practices and fostering data-driven solutions in the circular and sea economy? | Partially | 4 | Section I "Project" |
| | Yes | 7 | |

CLUSTER n. 2

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal contributing to the implementation of EUSAIR Cross-cutting issue on climate change and/or Risk management?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	3	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	5	Section I "Project"
b	Is the project proposal developing project ideas in line with the IT-HR Interreg Programme 2021-2027 Specific objective 2.4.?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	4	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	7	Section I "Project"
c	Is the project proposal activating coordination processes with ADRION Thematic Cluster on coastal and marine environment management?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	4	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	7	Section I "Project"
d	Is the project proposal focused on activities for	No	0	Section F "Project key data"

8b7b5614

FROM SHARED RESOURCES TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

increasing knowledge and planning capacity in local communities to develop coherent and appropriate climate adaptation plans, strategies and guidelines for climate change and/or saltwater intrusion?	Partially	4	Section I "Project"
	Yes	7	
e	Is the project proposal supporting activities aiming to increase preparedness of Civil Protection and inhabitants from the natural and man-made disasters?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	
f	Is the project proposal including dissemination, awareness raising, and exchange of experiences and good practices aiming to increase chances for sound implementation of solutions and climate action transformations in coastal areas of Italy and Croatia towards sustainable development and building resilience?	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	

CLUSTER n. 3

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal contributing to the	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	3	

Interreg Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

|	Question	Answer	Score	Reference
	implementation of EUSAIR flagship "AIR Cultural Routes – Development of sustainable and thematic cultural routes/ connecting cultural routes in EUSAIR"?	Yes	5	Section G "Communication approach" Section I "Project"
b	Is the project proposal developing project ideas in line with the IT-HR Interreg Programme 2021-2027 Specific objective 4.6?	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section G "Communication approach" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	
c	Is the project proposal activating coordination processes with ADRION Thematic Cluster towards sustainability in cultural and natural tourism destinations?	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section G "Communication approach" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	
d	Does the project proposal foster sustainable thematic cultural routes in cross-border area in order to contribute to distribution of tourism flows in a wider geographical area?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	
e	Does the cluster bring additional contribution to common narrative from previously implemented	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	

8b7b5614

Interreg Italy - Croatia

FROM SHARED RESOURCES TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

	projects?			
f	Does the cluster support use of innovative and advanced solutions as an opportunity to further develop new experiences?	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	

CLUSTER n. 4

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal contributing to the implementation of EUSAIR Flagship: Promotion of sustainable growth of the AI region by implementing ICZM and MSP also to contribute CRF on ICZM of Barcelona convention and the monitoring and management of marine protected area?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	3	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	5	Section I "Project"
b	Is the project proposal developing project ideas in line with the IT-HR Interreg Programme 2021-2027 Specific objective 2.7?	No	0	Section F "Project key data".
		Partially	4	Section G "Communication approach" Section I "Project"
		Yes	7	
c	Is the project proposal activating	No	0	Section F "Project key data"

ALLEGATO D1   DGR n. 1432 del 19 ottobre 2021

Interreg
Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

|				
	coordination processes with ADRION Thematic Cluster towards Coastal and marine environment management?	Partially	4	Section G "Communication approach" Section I "Project"
		Yes	7	
d	Is the project proposal focused on activities helping the local public administrations to take appropriate decisions related to monitoring the quality of marine environment and/or Maritime Spatial Planning (MSP)?	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	
e	Is the project proposal focused on activities for increasing knowledge and planning capacity in local communities to develop coherent and appropriate monitoring plans, strategies and guidelines useful for maritime spatial planning?	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	
f	Has the project elements aiming to foster the development of tools and actions for integrated management of the sea, coastal and river environment and of cross-border natural resources monitoring (i.e., coordinated Maritime Spatial Planning (MSP) and Integrated Coastal Management (ICM)?	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section G "Communication approach" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	

8b7b5614

CLUSTER n. 5

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

	Guiding question	Value	Score	Application Form
a	Is the project proposal contributing to the implementation of EUSAIR flagship "The Adriatic-Ionian multi-modal green / smart port hubs concept"?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	3	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	5	Section I "Project"
b	Is the project proposal developing project ideas in line with the IT-HR Interreg Programme 2021-2027 Specific objective 3.2?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	4	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	7	Section I "Project"
c	Is the project proposal activating coordination processes with ADRION Thematic Cluster on Integrated Multimodal Sustainable Water and Land Transport?	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	4	Section G "Communication approach"
		Yes	7	Section I "Project"
d	Is the project proposal focused on activities that have the aim of improving the quality, efficiency and environmental performance of intermodal	No	0	Section F "Project key data"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	

8b7b5614

Interreg
Italy - Croatia

EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

	and multimodal freight and passenger transport?			
e	Is the project proposal concentrating its activities on improvements of the Adriatic ports by e.g., development of supporting (ICT) tools for traffic management, integration, optimization and harmonization of services, interfaces, procedures, and traffic automation systems towards an environmentally sustainable approach?	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	
f	Is the project proposal focusing on the engagement of the relevant stakeholders, policy and decision makers in the transport sector?	No	0	Section F "Project key data" Section I "Project"
		Partially	4	
		Yes	7	

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

Annex IV - State aid assessment

CRITERIA	EXPLANATION	REFERENCE	CONSEQUENCE	TYPE OF CHECK
Fulfilment of all the 5 criteria as inferable from the definition of State Aid provided in the Article 107(1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (if one single criterion is not fulfilled, the assistance granted is not subject to the EU rules on State Aid).	In the context of the project, the applicant can be considered as an undertaking. ("YES", "NO" answer) Does the aid give an advantage to any of the LP or PPs? ("YES", "NO" answer) In the context of the project the applicants carry out economic activity. ("YES", "NO" answer) In the context of the project, the aid gives the applicant a selective	LP/PP declarations; FS3 (par. G. "State aid")	No State aid relevant activity exists (in case the answer is "NO" to any one of the items) A potential case of State aid relevant activity occurs (in case the answer is "YES" to all of the items) If the case, conditions for avoiding State aid shall be adopted (by adapting the activities of the project, reducing of the overall project budget, removing indirect aid granted to project final beneficiaries). REJECTION of the relevant project activities if clarification is not provided within the set deadline; if	Check performed by JS

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614

<table>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>advantage.

("YES", "NO" answer)

The activities that are considered favoring certain undertakings or the production of certain goods distort or threat to distort competition and have effect on trade and market.

("YES", "NO" answer)</td>
<td></td>
<td>no countermeasures and conditions can be adopted ensuring compliance of the approved project with State Aid rules, the Programme may exclude the concerned partner or reject the proposal.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</table>

8b7b5614

Annex V - Strategic orientation of the Programme (analysis of the pre-existing strategies and instruments present in the cooperation area)

In order to provide the potential applicants with information regarding existing strategies/instruments, four overview lists have been prepared.

A specific table has been arranged for each type of strategy/instrument:

• The EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR) Flagships

• ADRION Clusters

• River Basin Management Plans (RBMPs)

• Flood Risk Management Plans (FRMPs)

• Smart Specialization Strategies (S3)

This overview is an informative tool for supporting potential applicants in the framework of the Restricted Call for Proposals.

Interreg
Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

EUSAIR

PILLAR 1 - Blue Growth

· Topic 1 - Blue technologies

· Topic 2 - Fisheries and aquaculture

· Topic 3 - Maritime and marine governance and services

PILLAR 2 - Connecting the region

· Topic 1 - Maritime transport

· Topic 2 - Intermodal connections to the hinterland

· Topic 3 - Energy networks

PILLAR 3 - Environmental quality

· Topic 1 – The marine environment

· Topic 2 – Transnational terrestrial habitats and biodiversity

PILLAR 4 - Sustainable tourism

•Topic 1 - Diversified tourism offer (products and services)

•Topic 2 – Sustainable and responsible tourism management (innovation and quality)

EUSAIR FLAGSHIPS 2021-2027:

https://www.adriatic-ionian.eu/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/EUSAIR-flagships-GB_F.pdf

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614

Interreg
Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

ADRION THEMATIC CLUSTER

https://www.adrioninterreg.eu/index.php/adrion-thematic-clusters-2/

European Regional Development Fund

31

8b7b5614

River Basin Management Plans

concerning the IT-HR CBC Programme Area

ITALY		
DISTRICT CODE	**DISTRICT NAME**	**RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT PLAN (link to download)**
ITA	Eastern Alps / Alpi Orientali	http://www.alpiorientali.it/documenti/documenti.html
ITB	Po Basin / Bacino del Po	http://pianoacque.adbpo.it/
ITC	Northern Appenines / Appennino Settentrionale	https://www.appenninosettentrionale.it/itc/?page_id=2906
ITE	Central Appenines / Appennino Centrale	http://www.abtevere.it/?q=node/718
ITF	Southern Appenines / Appennino Meridionale	http://www.distrettoappenninomeridionale.it/index.php/pdg-acque-articolo

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614

CROATIA		
DISTRICT CODE	**DISTRICT NAME**	**RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT PLAN (link to download)**
HRC	Danube / Dunav	http://www.voda.hr/hr/plan-upravljanja-vodama-0
HRJ	Adriatic / Jadransko	http://www.voda.hr/hr/plan-upravljanja-vodama-0

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

Flood Risk Management Plans

concerning the IT-HR CBC Programme Area

ITALY		
DISTRICT CODE	**DISTRICT NAME**	**FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN (link to download)**
ITA	Eastern Alps / Alpi Orientali	http://www.pcn.minambiente.it/mattm/direttiva-alluvioni/#2
ITB	Po Basin/ Bacino del Po	
ITC	Northern Appenines / Appennino Settentrionale	
ITE	Central Appenines / Appennino Centrale	
ITF	Southern Appenines / Appennino Meridionale	

CROATIA		
DISTRICT CODE	**DISTRICT NAME**	**FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN (link to download)**
HRC	Danube / Dunav	https://www.voda.hr/hr/glavni-provedbeni-plan-obrane-od-poplava
HRJ	Adriatic / Jadransko	https://www.voda.hr/hr/glavni-provedbeni-plan-obrane-od-poplava

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614

Interreg
Italy - Croatia
EUROPEAN UNION

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

Smart Specialization Strategies(S3)

concerning the IT-HR CBC Programme Area

AREA	SMART SPECIALIZATION	SMART SPECIALIZATION STRATEGY (link to download)
Italia	Aerospace	http://old2018.agenziacoesione.gov.it/it/S3/
	Agrifood	
	Cultural Heritage	
	Green Chemistry	
	Sea Economy	
	Energy (Environment)	
	Smart manufacturing	
	Innovation (no R&D)	
	Sustainable mobility	
	Life science	
	Smart communities	
	Smart living technologies	
Regione Friuli Venezia Giulia	Agrifood	http://www.regione.fvg.it/rafvg/cms/RAFVG/fondi-europei-fvg-internazionale/S3_FVG/
	Smart manufacturing and related services	
	Maritime technologies	
	Smart health	
	Culture, creativity and tourism	
Regione Veneto	Smart Agrifood	http://repository.regione.veneto.it/public/9acbbc91ddf98b88115361095b03ebb2.php?lang=it&dl=true
	Sustainable Living	
	Smart Manufacturing	
	Creative Industries	

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614

Regione Emilia Romagna	Agricultural & food production	http://fesr.regione.emilia-romagna.it/s3/s3
	Construction	
	Mechatronics	
	Health & well being	
	Creative sectors	
Regione Marche	Health & well being	http://www.norme.marche.it/Delibere/2014/DGR0157_14.pdf
	Domotics	
	Sustainable manufacturing	
	Mechatronics	
Regione Abruzzo	Automotive - Mechatronics	http://www.regione.abruzzo.it/xprogrammazione/index.asp?modello=ris3&servizio=xList&stileDiv=mono&template=default&msv=futuroCo3
	Life science (Pharmaceuticals, R&D, health care)	
	ICT - Aerospace	
	Agrifood	
	Fashion - Design	
Regione Molise	Automotive	https://moliseineuropa.regione.molise.it/s3
	Construction	
	Fashion	
	Agrifood	
	Tourism	
	ICT	
	Life science	
Regione Puglia	Sustainable manufacturing	http://www.sistema.puglia.it/portal/pls/portal/sispuglia.ges_blob.p_retrieve?p_tname=sispuglia.documenti&p_cname=testo&p_cname_mime=mime_type_testo&p_rowid=AAAh67AARAAP2myAAC&p_name_allegato=&p_esito=0
	Health & well being	
	Creative digital communities	

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614

FROM SHARED RESOURCES
TO JOINT SOLUTIONS

CROATIA		
AREA	**SMART SPECIALIZATION**	**SMART SPECIALIZATION STRATEGY (link to download)**
Croatia	Health and life quality	http://narodne-novine.nn.hr/clanci/sluzbeni/2016_04_32_853.html
	Energy and sustainable environment	
	Transport and mobility	
	Safeness	
	Food and Bioeconomy	

European Regional Development Fund

8b7b5614